

Dimensional Reduction and a non-perturbative generation of a magnetic mass in non-abelian gauge theory at Finite temperature

Satchidananda Naik

Mehta Research Institute of Mathematics
and Mathematical Physics
10 Kasturba Gandhi Marg
(Old Kutchery Road, Katra)
Allahabad-211 002, INDIA
e-mail: naik @ mri.ernet.in

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A non-perturbative mass of $0.2719g^2T$ is generated for the magnetic sector of the $SU(2)$ gauge theory at high temperature due to the condensation of Polyakov [1] monopole and antimonopole which form a magnetic glue ball. String tension for the spatial wilson loop is calculated which is of the same order of magnitude and has same temperature dependence as obtained from lattice simulation.

A non-perturbative generation of a mass term in the magnetic sector of the nonabelian gauge fields at high temperature is absolutely necessary. The lowest order perturbation (one loop) is not so infrared singular to generate a mass term as in the case of the electric (time like vector potential A_0) sector and also higher order perturbation breaks down beyond $O(g^6)$ as pointed out by Linde [2]. So far it is customary to cure the infrared problem by resummation technique through higher order perturbation which in the present case is quite subtle due to the problem of gauge invariance and in practice impossible beyond $O(g^6)$ [2]. So far it is not clear why this discrepancy exists between the infrared behaviour of electric sector and spatial magnetic sector of non-abelian gauge theory at finite temperature. More than a decade ago a simple minded physical picture is given by Gross et al. [3] and also by Kapusta [4], that the infrared behaviour of the spatial sector is same as that of pure QCD in three dimensions and there one expects a mass gap which may be in the form of magnetic glue ball mass. So far this conjecture is taken as granted and to circumvent the infrared problem an infrared cutoff is taken which is same as the three dimensional glue ball mass [5] obtained from the lattice simulation [6]. It is also quite remarkable that the lattice simulation of the string tension of magnetic sector of the vector potential of the four dimensional pure QCD at finite temperature is quite comparable with the result obtained from the three dimensional lattice QCD at zero temperature [7].

The generation of this mass is quite crucial to explain the baryon asymmetry of the universe in the frame work of standard model [8]. The mass term for the gauge field weakens the discontinuous nature of the effective potential which eventually leads to a second order phase transition [9]. In recent past there are several investigations in this regard and Buchmüller et al [10] put an upper bound on this mass beyond which the nature of transition will be second order which will wipe out the scenario of baryon asymmetry in the standard model. The main motivation of this present investigation is to show from the first principle how this magnetic mass arises non-perturbatively due to the condensation of electric strings and Polyakov monopoles [1]. We present an analytic expression of this mass and the string tension and compare this with the lattice simulation results.

The finite temperature partition function for the $SU(N)$ gauge theory is given by

$$Z(\beta) = \int DA_\mu \exp - \int_0^\beta \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1)$$

The gauge fields $A_\mu(x, \tau)$ are periodic in the euclidian time τ of period $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$, where T is identified as temperature. Due to the periodic boundary condition

$$A_\mu(x, \tau) = A_\mu^{st}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{\mu,n}^{nst}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\omega_n \tau), \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_n = 2\pi nT$. The integration over the non-static mode $A_{\mu,n}(\mathbf{x})$ gives rise to an effective action [11],

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} F^{ij} F_{ij} + \text{Tr} (D_i A^0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_D^2(T) \text{Tr} A_0^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \text{Tr} A_0^4, \quad (3)$$

where $m_D^2 = \frac{8g^2T^2}{3}$, $\lambda = \frac{g^4T}{\pi^2}$, $D_i A^0 = \partial_i A^0 + g\sqrt{T}[A_i, A^0]$ and $A_\mu = t^a A_\mu^a$ where t^a are $SU(N)$ generators. Here we are more interested in $SU(2)$ case because of its current interest in the study of the phase transition of the early universe. However our theory could be extended to any gauge group. We can recognize (3) as Georgi-Glashow model in three dimension where the gauge field is coupled with the Higgs field A^0 in their adjoint representation. As mentioned earlier the gauge invariant operator in the compact dimension (the temporal Polyakov line) $tr Pe^{i\int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\mathbf{x})}$ gets condensed in the vacuum. To integrate over $A^0(\mathbf{x})$ we diagonalize

$$A^0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_3 & \varphi_1 - i\varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2 & -\varphi_3 \end{pmatrix} = U\phi U^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \\ & -\varphi \end{pmatrix}$ and $\varphi = \mp \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2}$, are the eigenvalues of A^0 and U is the unitary matrix which has diagonalized it. Without any loss of generality the gauge field is rotated as $A'_i = UA_iU^{-1} + i\partial_i UU^{-1}$. Thus

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^3X \left[\left(\frac{1}{2g^2T} \text{Tr} F_{ij}^2 \right) + g^2T \varphi^2 \text{Tr}[A_i, \sigma^3]^2 + 2(\partial_i \varphi)^2 + V(\varphi) \right], \quad (5)$$

and the measure

$$[\Pi_X DA_0(\mathbf{x})]^{N_\tau} = [\Pi_X \varphi^2 d\varphi]^{N_\tau} [dUU^{-1}]^{N_\tau}. \quad (6)$$

Here N_τ is the number of discrete points in the τ direction which gives $N_\tau a = \beta = \frac{1}{T}$ where a is the lattice spacing. The integration over the unitary matrix gives identity and the rest goes to the effective action as

$$S_{\text{measure}} = -\frac{1}{T} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^3X \ln \varphi^2, \quad (7)$$

Here as usual we take $\sum_{X,\tau} = \delta^4(x=0) \int d\tau \int d^3x = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \int d\tau \int d^3x$. In the classical low energy limit of the three dimensional effective theory $k^2 \leq m_D^2$, the Debye mass of A_0 , taken as the momentum cutoff, which is the only scale parameter available. This parameter is not a constant which one will think it as an infrared cutoff rather this is an ultraviolet cutoff which depends on temperature T and $g^2(T)$ which is the four dimensional running coupling at scale T . Thus

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^{m_D^2} \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} = \frac{2g^4T^3}{9\pi^2} = \alpha. \quad (8)$$

(This is obtained by assuming spherical geometry of the phase space. However this factor will not drastically change in cartesian coordinates.) So the effective potential is

$$V(\varphi) = m_D^2 \varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{12} \varphi^4 - \alpha \ln \varphi^2 \quad (9)$$

The minimum of the potential gives

$$\varphi_c^2 = \langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx \frac{g^2 T}{12\pi^2} \quad (10)$$

which eventually gives (c.f. eq.(5)) $m_{A_i^\mp}^2 = \frac{g^4 T^2}{3\pi^2}$. This is one of our main results.

However A_i^3 remains massless and by perturbation we can never generate a mass for this. We exactly follow the path of Polyakov [1] and show that the mass gap of the theory is due to monopole-antimonopole condensation. In the sequel we only present the relevant equations instead of more involving calculations.

The non-trivial classical solutions are the monopole solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r) &= \frac{x^3}{r} \cdot \varphi_c \\ A_i^3 &= -\epsilon_{i3j} \frac{x_j}{r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for $r \geq \frac{1}{m_{A^\mp}}$, which gives

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4\pi m_{A^\mp}}{g^2 T}. \quad (12)$$

For large separations of monopoles and anti-monopoles, the solutions are $\varphi = \varphi_c$ and $A^\mp = 0$ and the magnetic field due to A_i^3 is that of the Dirac string solutions given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}^3 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} - 2\pi \hat{e}_3 \theta(x_3) \delta(x_1) \delta(x_2). \quad (13)$$

By appropriately taking zero modes in to account for a gas of monopoles and anti-monopoles the partition function is given by

$$Z = Z_{\text{Gaussian}} Z_{\text{monopole}} \quad (14)$$

where Z_{Gaussian} is the contribution due to the Gaussian fluctuations around the classical monopole solutions

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= A_i^{cl} + \alpha_i, \\ \varphi &= \varphi^{cl} + \eta, \\ \text{and} \\ V(\varphi) &= V(\varphi_{cl}) + \frac{1}{2} V''(\varphi_{cl}) \eta^2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The classical solutions are so non-linear that the quadratic fluctuations never has any effect on the low energy quantities namely the mass gap or the string tension of the theory, so we are not concerned about the fluctuations here.

$$Z_{\text{monopole}} = \sum_{\{q_a\}} \frac{\xi^N}{N!} \int \prod_i dR_i \exp - \left(\frac{\pi}{2g^2 T} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{q_a q_b}{R_{ab}} \right) \quad (16)$$

where q_a is the charge of the monopole sitting at R_a which happened to be ∓ 1 here, and

$$\xi = \sqrt{4\pi} \frac{m_{A^\mp}^{\frac{7}{2}}}{g\sqrt{T}} \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi m_{A^\mp}}{g^2 T}\right) \quad (17)$$

is the tree level contribution of a single monopole. By usual Gaussian trick

$$Z_{monopole} = \int D\chi \exp -\frac{g^2 T}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x [(\nabla\chi)^2 - M^2 \cos\chi] \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 &= 8\pi^2 \xi / g^2 T \\ &= e^{-\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}} \frac{16}{3\pi} \frac{1}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}} g^4 T^2 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which gives $M = 0.2719 g^2 T$. This shows that although we start with the massless vector field A_i^3 , we have a massive scalar particle of mass M in the vacuum. This massive scalar field obeying a non-linear Debye equation mediates a coulomb type of force between monopole and anti-monopole to form a magnetic glue ball. This theory has no infrared problem and the mass is also of the correct order of magnitude and temperature dependence which is recently taken for doing perturbative calculation of the effective potential to study the baryon asymmetry of the Universe.

We calculate here the string tension in this formalism and compare our result with the results of lattice simulation [6].

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle &= \langle e^{i \oint_C d\xi^i A_i(\mathbf{x})} \rangle \\ &= e^{-\sigma A} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where σ is the string tension and A is the area of the Wilson loop enclosed by the contour C . Also

$$\oint_C A_i d\xi^i = \int_A \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n} dS. \quad (21)$$

In the semiclassical approximation [1], B_i is expressed in terms of the monopole charge density as

$$B_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y \frac{(x-y)_i}{|x-y|^3} \rho(y) \quad (22)$$

where

$$\rho(y) = \sum_a q_a \delta(y - y_a) \quad (23)$$

Also one can express

$$\int \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n} dS = \int d^3x \eta(x) \rho(x) \quad (24)$$

where

$$\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{S}_y \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}{|x - y|^3}. \quad (25)$$

Thus $\langle W(C) \rangle = \frac{Z(\eta)}{Z(0)}$, where

$$Z[\eta] = \int D\chi \exp -\frac{g^2 T}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x [(\nabla(\chi - \eta))^2 - M^2 \cos \chi]. \quad (26)$$

To conveniently evaluate the string tension σ , without any loss of generality we chose the contour C of the Wilson Loop as a circle of radius $\frac{1}{M}$ lying in the X-Y plane. The ansatz for the classical solution of χ field is chosen as one dimensional Sine-Gordon kink in the transverse z direction which is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{cl}(z) &= 4 \arctan(e^{-mz}) \quad z > 0 \\ \chi_{cl}(-z) &= -\chi_{cl}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Substituting this we get $\sigma = Mg^2T/4$ which gives $\sqrt{\sigma} = 0.266 g^2T$. The string tension of spatial Wilson loop of 4D SU(2) lattice gauge theory at finite temperature is $\sqrt{\sigma} = 0.369g^2T$ [6].

The main observation of this analysis is that *there is no infrared problem for gauge theory which looks completely maligned with this to start with.* The one-loop perturbative contribution of the non-static modes, which gives Debye mass to A^0 explicitly, however non-perturbatively generate massive excitation in the three dimensional vacuum. The most striking feature of our endeavour is the agreement of our string tension with correct order of magnitude and the temperature dependence which is obtained by lattice simulation.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Professor H.S. Mani, S. Kalyan Rama and all the members of HEP group for helpful discussion and encouragement. Thanks are also due to Professors Ashok Das, Ashoke Sen and Jan Ambjorn for their comments and suggestions and all the members of Niels Bohr Institute for their hospitality where a part of this work was done.

References

- [1] A.M. Polyakov, Nucl Phys B 120 429 (1977),
Phys. Lett. B 72 477 (1977).
- [2] A .D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 96 289 (1980).
- [3] D.Gross, R.D. Pisarski and L.G. Yaffe,
Rev. Mod.Phys. 53 43 (1984).
- [4] J.Kapusta, Finite temperature Field Theory,
Cambridge university Press, New York (1990).
- [5] K. Farakos et al. Nucl. Phys. B 245 67 (1994),
M. Quiros, J.R. Espinosa and F. Jwirner,
Phys Lett B 314 206 (1993),
W. Buchmüller, T. Heilbig and D. Walliser,
Nucl.Phys.B 407 387 (1993),
M. Reuter and C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B 408 91 (1993).
- [6] G.Bali et al. Phys.Rev. Lett 71 3059 (1993),
J.Fingberg et al. Nucl. Phys B (proc. Supp)34 295 (1994),
Frithjof Karsch, Lattice 93
Nucl. Phys. B (proc. Supp) 34 63 (1994).
- [7] M. Teper, Phys.Lett B 289 115 (1992).
- [8] V.A. Kuzmin, V.A. Rubakov and M.E. Shaposhnikov,
Phys.Lett B 155 36 (1995),
M.E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl.Phys.B 287 757 (1987),
M. Dine et al. Phys. Lett B 283 219 (1993),
J. Ambjorn et al. phys.Lett. B 244 477 (1990),
J. Ambjorn and K. Farakos, Phys. Lett. B 294 248 (1992),
L. McLerran et al. Phys. Lett B 256 451 (1990).
- [9] M. Dine, et al. Phys. Rev. D 46 550 (1992),
F. Karsch,T. Neuhaus and A. Patkos,
University Of Bielefeld Report-94-27.
- [10] W. Buchmüller et al. , Annals of Phys. 234 260 (1994).
- [11] S. Nadkarni, phys. Rev D 27 917(1983),
Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 396 (1988) ,
T. Reisz, Z Phys. C 53 169 (1992),
N.P. Landsman, Nucl. Phys. B 322 498 (1989),
L. Kä rKäinen et al. Nucl.Phys. B 395 733(1993).